## Numerical Relativity in higher dimensional spacetimes

## Hirotada Okawa





## Outline of the talk

- Introduction
- Numerical Relativity in higher dimensional spacetimes
  - Decomposition of the Einstein equation
  - BSSN formalism
  - Gauge equations
- Black hole collision
- Black hole in AdS spacetimes
- Summary

## Introduction

CL

F

## Veryfication for higher dimensional gravity

- In principle, BH can be formed when the energy is confined to the sufficient small region.(Cf. "Hoop-Conjecture" Thorne(1972))
- Planck scale is  $10^{19}$ [GeV] if D = 4.
- Higher dimensional theory, Arkani-Hamed+(98),Randall+(99)
- Current experiments show the inverse square law of the gravity is valid up to  $0.1 \sim 0.01 mm$ .

BH formation?

evapolation

 $\bullet$  Planck scale could be larger than 10  $[{\rm TeV}].$ 

High-energy collision

• Possibility of the BH formation for particle collisions

Dimopoulos and Landsberg(2001), Giddings and Thomas(2002)

## **High-velocity Collisions in Higher Dimensions**

It is believed that Gravity is dominant below the Planck scale.  $\longrightarrow$  We could treat it as classical gravity.

- Test particle collisions
- Shock wave collisions Penrose(1974), Eardley and Giddings(2002)
- High-velocity BH collisions Shibata+(2008),Sperhake+(2009)



Impact paramter(BH formation) M and J(feature of BH) Dissipation(feature of GWs)

NR in Higher Dimensions

## 4D Numerical Relativity(Binary BHs)





## High-velocity BH Collisions in Numerical Relativity

Orbits of a BH in 4D collision Waveform of 5D Headon collision 0.01 (y<sub>2</sub>-y<sub>1</sub>) / 2m<sub>0</sub> 5  $R_{ex} = 30 r_{s}$  $-R_{ex} = 40 r_{s}$ 0.005  $R_{ex} = 50 r_{s}$ 0  $\Phi_{t}$ -5 -0.005 -10 -5 5 20 25 30 15 0 10 -0.01 (x<sub>2</sub>-x<sub>1</sub>) / 2m<sub>0</sub> Shibata, HO, Yamamoto(2008) -0.015 25 50 75 100 125  $\Delta t/r_{s}$ scatter Witek, Zilhão, Gualtieri, Cardoso, non-prompt merger Herdeiro, Nerozzi, Sperhake(2010) prompt merger 30 շ⊷≎j<sub>քա</sub> 22 0.9 | <mark>- - 0</mark> | <sub>AB</sub> 豪<sup>20</sup> 足<sup>15</sup> 匠10 <u>}-0</u>J∩QI 0.1 2.53 33 25 IJ, 3.5 b/M Ь'М Sperhake, Cardoso, Pretorius, Berti, Hinderer, Yunes (2009) Spin of the formed BH in 4D collision

# **Numerical Relativity**

als

6

F

6

6

L









#### Gauge conditions

Puncture Gauge Conditions Alcubierre+(2003)



Dennison, Wendell, Baumgarte (2010)

 $\eta_{\alpha}, \eta_{\beta}$  and  $\eta_B$  are arbitrary parameters. (We choose the parameter carefully by problems to solve.)

- we can treat the evolution near the singularity well.
- The result of the evolution of the Scwarzschild-Tangherlini BH: In the puncture gauge, there is a special slice as the attractor. Hannam+(2009),Nakao+(2009)



### SACRA code

Yamamoto, Shibata, Taniguchi (2008)

- How to solve the Einstein eq.
   Economical:
  - Space : 4th order finite differences
  - Time : 4th order
     Runge-Kutta integration

- We can run on a computer,
  - CPU :3.4 GHz, 6 cores,
  - MEM :32 GB,
  - EURO:1,300 euros.

- BH-BH, NS-NS and BH-NS :
  - To resolve following scales,
    - Size of the star,
    - Interval between stars,
    - Wavelength of GWs,

we need Adaptive Mesh Refinement(AMR).



## 5D Numerical Relativity(Cartoon method)



• Originally this is used to solve the axisymmetric problems.  $\begin{cases} y = a \cos b \\ z = a \sin b \end{cases}$ 

- Numerical errors tend to grow near the  $axis(r \sim 0)$  on polar coordinates. (Because  $\frac{1}{r}$  diverges apparently at r = 0.) We can get any values by the interpolation if we
- There isn't such a problem on Cartesian co- have the data on z = 0. ordinates.

We can take Cartesian coordinates and save the costs by Cartoon method.

## **Black Hole Collision**

6

L

6

6

L



#### Initial condition(a Boost BH)

Schwarzschild-Tangherlini Black Hole in isotropic coordinates





We should also get  $\delta K_{ij}$  by solving the Momentum constraints.

corrections when the BHs are sufficiently apart from each other.





#### Scattering of BHs



Vertical axis denotes **impact paramter**. V Horizontal axis denotes **initial velocity of BHs**.

 $b_C$ :Lower limit of b which we can see the scattering.

 $b_B$ : Upper limit of b which we can see the merging.

### Exchange of M and J by Scattering



- Mass and Angular momentum grow after scattering.
- "Tidal Heating effect" could explain this.
  - (Cf. The membrane paradigm(1986), Poisson(2009,2010))



#### Kretschmann Invariant Scalar

Let's see the BH scattering in terms of

the Gauge invariant quantity.

 $\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{K}^2 = R_{abcd} R^{abcd} \\ \hline \text{(Kretschmann invariant scalar)} \end{array}$ 

Normalized by the value on the Horizon of SBH



Schwarzschild black hole in 5D isotropic coordinates

 $\mathcal{K}^2 = (D-2)^2 (D-1) (D-3) E_P^4$ 

 $E_P$ : Planck Energy

 $\mathcal{K}^2 = 72E_P^4$ 

#### Kretschmann Scalar during scattering



#### Kretschmann vs Separation between BHs



• Vertical axis denotes **Kretchmann scalar** at the center of mass.





Kretschmann invariant at the same impact parameter



#### Maximum of Kretschmann Scalar



- Horizontal axis denotes the initial velocity of BHs.
- Vertical axis denotes the **Maximum of**  $\mathcal{K}$  at the center of mass(log scale).

# Black Hole in AdS spacetimes

L

J

### BH in the spacetimes with a brane





$$b = \ell^{-1}$$
 is the thickness of the brane.



## **BSSN** formalism in AdS spacetimes

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \frac{1}{a^2(z)} \left[ -\alpha^2 \mathrm{d}t^2 + \gamma_{ij} \left( \mathrm{d}x^i + \beta^i \mathrm{d}t \right) \left( \mathrm{d}x^j + \beta^j \mathrm{d}t \right) \right]$$
(5)

- We define the BSSN variables without a(z) part.
- We get usual evolution equation with BSSN variables + a(z) terms.

$$\begin{split} & \left(\partial_t - \beta^i \partial_i\right) \chi = \frac{1}{2} \chi \left(\alpha K - \partial_i \beta^i\right) + \frac{2a'}{a} \beta^z \chi, \\ & \left(\partial_t - \beta^l \partial_l\right) \tilde{\gamma}_{ij} = -2\alpha \tilde{A}_{ij} + \tilde{\gamma}_{il} \partial_j \beta^l + \tilde{\gamma}_{jl} \partial_i \beta^l - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\gamma}_{ij} \partial_l \beta^l, \\ & \left(\partial_t - \beta^i \partial_i\right) K = \alpha \left[ \tilde{A}_{ij} \tilde{A}^{ij} + \frac{1}{4} K^2 + \frac{\kappa}{3} \left(2\rho + S\right) \right] - \left[ a \bar{D}^i \bar{D}_i \left(\frac{\alpha}{a}\right) \right]^{TF} + \frac{a'}{a} \beta^z K, \\ & \left(\partial_t - \beta^l \partial_l\right) \tilde{A}_{ij} = \cdots, \\ & \left(\partial_t - \beta^j \partial_j\right) \tilde{\Gamma}^i = \cdot \cdot \mathbf{Evolution \ equations \ for \ the \ scalar \ field} \\ & \left(\partial_t - \beta^l \partial_l\right) \phi = -\alpha \Pi, \\ & \left(\partial_t - \beta^l \partial_l\right) \Pi = \alpha K \Pi + \frac{\alpha}{a^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} - \chi \alpha \left[ \tilde{D}^i \tilde{D}_i \phi + \left(\frac{\partial_i \alpha}{\alpha} - \frac{\partial \chi}{\chi} - \frac{3a'}{a} \delta_i^z\right) \tilde{D}^i \phi \right]. \end{split}$$

#### **Coordinates and Boundary Conditions**

$x_1, x_2, x_3$	
Coordinates	
<ul> <li>We want higher resolution near the brane.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Perhaps outer region could be</li> </ul>	
coarse.(not sure)	
<ul> <li>We use y coordinate.</li> <li>(The interval of x is larger at</li> </ul>	Boundary Conditions
(11)	• $Z_2$ symmetry at the brane
$z = 2b\sinh(by)$	We can give the variables at $-y$
<ul> <li>But we defined BSSN variables</li> </ul>	from that at $y$ . $\alpha(-y) = \alpha(y), \phi(-y) = -\phi(y)$
on $z(\gamma_{zz}$ conformal flat).	<ul> <li>If outer boundaries are enough far,</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>We should pay attention to the</li> </ul>	we can impose the Neumann con- dition or Outgoing condition (It
derivatives of $z$	could be correct unless we evolve
$\partial_z \alpha = \frac{1}{a(y)} \partial_y \alpha$	for a long time.)



#### Hamiltonian Constraint

- We want an initial condition with Apparent Horizon(AH).
- Previous study T. Shiromizu and M. Shibata(2000)
- They construct the solution with AH by introducing a scalar field as gravitational source.

metric ansatz

$$^{2} = \frac{1}{a^{2}(z)} \left[ dz^{2} + (1 + w(r, z))^{4} \left( dr^{2} + r^{2} d\theta^{2} + r^{2} \sin^{2} \theta d\phi^{2} \right) \right]$$

Hamiltonian Constraint

$$\partial_{r}^{2}w + \frac{2}{r}\partial_{r}w + \frac{3}{2}\left[\left(\partial_{z}^{2}w - \frac{3bz^{2}}{1+b^{2}z^{2}}\partial_{z}w\right)(1+w)^{4} + 3\left(\partial_{z}w\right)^{2}(1+w)^{3}\right] = -\pi\left(1+w\right)^{5}\left(\partial_{z}\psi\right)^{2} - \pi\left(1+w\right)\left(\partial_{r}\psi\right)^{2}$$

We can solve the nonlinear elliptic equation(in principle if solutions exist)!



#### **Initial Condition**

How to give the scalar field:





### **Preliminary Result**



- This is an evolution test for previous initial condition(Low res.).
- The values related to the AH are in about 4% error.
- It seems good before  $t\sim 20.$
- The proper length of the equator is not so changed, but the position of AH on those coordinates is growing.



#### Summary

- We are in the stage to apply Numerical relativity to various spacetimes.
- We can see the dynamical evolution of the BH(s) in higher dimensions.
- Scattering of BHs in higher dimensions might be used to investigate the limit of classical gravity.
- The code of the AdS numerical relativity should show some interesting results(I hope).

Thank you very much for listening!